

[Docket No. 14, 16, 22, 24, 28, 34]

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
CAMDEN VICINAGE**

DAVID M. GRECO, appearing *pro se*,

PLAINTIFF,

v.

DETECTIVE LAUREN LAIELLI, NEW
JERSEY OFFICE OF HOMELAND
SECURITY AND PREPAREDNESS,
and SERGEANT FRANK PACE,

DEFENDANTS.

Civil No. 22-4058 (RMB/EAP)

ORDER

BUMB, Chief District Judge

This matter comes before the Court upon three Motions to Dismiss the Complaint by each of the named defendants in this action: Detective Lauren Laielli of the New Jersey Office of Homeland Security and Preparedness (“Laielli”), that Office (“OHSP”), and Officer Frank Pace of the Gloucester County Police Department (“Pace”) (together, “Defendants”). [Docket Nos. 14, 16, 22.] For the reasons set forth in the accompanying Opinion as of today’s date, and for good cause shown,

IT IS this 28th day of February 2023, hereby

ORDERED that Defendant Laielli’s Motion to Dismiss the Complaint [Docket No. 22] is **GRANTED**; and it is further

ORDERED that Defendant OHSP’s Motion to Dismiss the Complaint [Docket No. 16] is **GRANTED**; and it is further

ORDERED that Defendant Pace's Motion to Dismiss the Complaint [Docket No. 14] is **GRANTED**; and it is further

ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Stay the State's Civil Case is **DENIED**; and it is further

ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Bring Criminal Charges against the Defendants [Docket No. 24] is **DENIED**;¹ and it is further

ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions against Defendant Laielli [Docket No. 28] is **DISMISSED AS MOOT**; and it is further

ORDERED that the Complaint [Docket No. 1] is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**; and it is further

ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall mail a copy of this Order and accompanying Opinion to Plaintiff at his address as it appears on the docket and **CLOSE THIS CASE**.

s/Renée Marie Bumb
Renée Marie Bumb
Chief District Judge

¹ The Court does not have the authority to grant Plaintiff the relief he seeks. *See United States v. Bruce*, 950 F.3d 173, 176 (3d Cir. 2020) (explaining that "what, if any, charges to bring against a criminal suspect" is a decision "firmly committed to the discretion of the Executive Branch") (citations omitted).